



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,508	10/14/2005	Kenji Morimoto	OKUDP0137US	7432
51921	7590	07/17/2009	EXAMINER	
MARK D. SARALINO (PAN) RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP 1621 EUCLID AVENUE 19TH FLOOR CLEVELAND, OH 44115			KHAN, ASHER R	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		2621	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
07/17/2009	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/553,508	MORIMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner ASHER KHAN	Art Unit 2621	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ASHER KHAN.

(3) Kerry S. Culpepper.

(2) Andy Rao.

(4) Shinichi Yamamoto and Mayumi Okuno.

Date of interview: 16 July 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 19, 25 and 30.

Identification of prior art discussed: 2008/0209300 A1 and 6,532,336.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed claim 19, 25 and 30. Applicants proposed amendment to claims suggested the incorporation of "splicing" features to the claims and overcome the rejection of record, but the indication of allowable subject matter would be dependent upon further approval of the proposed amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Andy S. Rao/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621